

REPORT OF THE HEAD OF PLANNING AND REGENERATION

Appendix A Photographs

Screen shots from Google Street view



This shows the tree in relation to the dwelling prior to the extension. (Apr 2009)



The view from Victoria Road (April 2009) The tree balances the neighbouring birch tree to the right of the house.



View from Mill Lane (July 2011) highlighting the importance of the tree at the junction.



View from Maplewell Road (May 2016) showing the relationship of the tree to the other frontage trees. It is in alignment with the trees further down the road but notably is separate from them punctuating the junction.



View up Maplewell Road (May 2016) at junction with Victoria Road. This shows the relational balance and cadence this tree provides in relation to the conifer on the opposite side.

Photographs during construction



Photographs –winter



January 2019 –tree without foliage exposing branch framework.





View from Mill Lane.



View east along Maplewell Road.



The branch tracery shows that the tree is pollarded.



Close up of the pollard formation.

APPENDIX B TEMPO PROFORMA

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date:	Surveyor:
-------	-----------

Tree details	Tree/Group No:	Species:
TPO Ref (if applicable):	Location:	
Owner (if known):		

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

5) Good	Highly suitable	Score & Notes
3) Fair/satisfactory	Suitable	
1) Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	
0) Dead/dying/dangerous*	Unsuitable	
* Refers to existing context and is intended to apply to severe immediate defects only		

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

5) 100+	Highly suitable	Score & Notes
4) 40-100	Very suitable	
3) 20-40	Suitable	
1) 10-20	Just suitable	
0) <10*	Unsuitable	
*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality		

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO
Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees	Highly suitable	Score & Notes
4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public	Suitable	
3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only	Suitable	
2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty	Rarely suitable	
1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size	Probably unsuitable	

d) Other factors
Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Score & Notes
4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion	
3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)	
-1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location	

Part 2: Resilience assessment
Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice	Score & Notes
3) Foreseeable threat to tree	
2) Perceived threat to tree	
1) Precautionary only	

Part 3: Decision guide

Any 0	Do not apply TPO	Add Scores for Total:	Decision:
1-6	TPO indefensible		
7-11	Does not merit TPO		
12-15	TPO defensible		
16+	Definitely merits TPO		

TEMPO = tree evaluation method for preservation orders as developed by Forbes- Laird Arboricultural Consultancy.

